Law Offices of:

PHILIP J. BERG, ESQUIRE

Identification No. 09867

555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12 Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531

Ph: (610) 825-3134 Attorney in pro se and as Counsel for Fx: (610) 834-7659 the Plaintiffs upon approval of Pro

Email: philiberg@gmail.com Hac Vice admission

EVELYN ADAMS, Plaintiff

c/o PHILIP J. BERG, ESOUIRE

555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12 Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531

Ph: (610) 825-3134

Fx: (610) 834-7659 In Pro Se, Pending Mr. Berg's Pro

Hac Vice Admission Email: philiberg@gmail.com

LISA OSTELLA, and GO EXCEL GLOBAL, Plaintiffs

c/o PHILIP J. BERG, ESOUIRE

555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12 Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531

Ph: (610) 825-3134

Fx: (610) 834-7659 In Pro Se, Pending Mr. Berg's Pro

Hac Vice Admission Email: philiberg@gmail.com

LISA LIBERI, Plaintiff

c/o PHILIP J. BERG, ESOUIRE

555 Andorra Glen Court, Suite 12 Lafayette Hill, PA 19444-2531

Ph: (610) 825-3134

Fx: (610) 834-7659 In Pro Se, Pending Mr. Berg's Pro

Email: philiberg@gmail.com Hac Vice Admission

> U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AMARILLO DIVISION

LISA LIBERI, et al.

Plaintiffs, **CIVIL ACTION**

VS. Case No. 2:11-cv-00090-J

LINDA SUE BELCHER, et al, Honorable Mary Lou Robinson

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT EDGAR HALE'S MOTION TO REJECT PLAINTIFFS OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT HALE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiffs Philip J. Berg, Esquire, in pro se and as Counsel for the Plaintiffs upon *Pro Hac Vice* admission, and Plaintiffs Evelyn Adams, Lisa Liberi, Lisa Ostella, Go Excel Global and the Law Offices of Philip J. Berg ["Plaintiffs"] and files the within Response in Opposition to Defendant Edgar Hale's [hereinafter at times "Defendant" or "Hale"] Motion to Reject ["MTR"] Plaintiffs Response in Opposition to Defendant Hale's Motion to Dismiss. In support hereof, Plaintiffs avers as follows:

- 1. Defendant's Motion to "Reject" is improper and incompliant with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 7(a)(B); and this Court's Local Rules 7.1(a); 7.1(b); 7.1(d); and 7.2 and therefore, should be stricken.
- 2. Being that Defendant failed to provide a Brief or Memorandum of Points and Authorities based on any case law or statutes relied upon, Plaintiffs can only assume Defendant is attempting to file a Motion to Strike pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

3. Mr. Berg is a pro se Plaintiff herein and all Plaintiffs have jointly worked together on the filings, and have given full authorization for their electronic signatures on the pleadings filed.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons outlined herein and in Plaintiffs

Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Plaintiffs respectfully Request this Court

to Deny Defendant Edgar Hales Motion to Reject.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 30, 2011 /s/ Philip J. Berg

PHILIP J. BERG, ESQUIRE

Attorney in pro se and as Counsel for the Plaintiffs upon approval of Pro Hac Vice Admission

The Following Plaintiffs in Pro Se pending Mr. Berg's Pro Hac Vice Admission, join in this Opposition to Defendant Edgar Hale's Motion to Reject Plaintiffs Opposition to Defendant Hale's Motion to Dismiss; and have given full permission for their electronic signature to be input on the within document. Further, Mr. Berg maintains in his office, the originally signed copies by each of the following Plaintiffs:

Dated: August 30, 2011

/s/ Evelyn Adams

EVELYN ADAMS, Plaintiff

Dated: August 30, 2011

/s/ Lisa Ostella
LISA OSTELLA, and

GO EXCEL GLOBAL, Plaintiffs

Dated: August 30, 2011

/s/ Lisa Liberi

LISA LIBERI, Plaintiff